	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/768,991	HUDETZ ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yogesh C. Garg	3625
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	ears on the cover sheet with (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is s	th the correspondence address n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>3/28/2007</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>33-76</u> .		
 3.	e been received. e been received in Application occuments have been received in Application occuments have been received in Application occuments have been received in Application to file MENT of this application. In titled. Note the attached EXA res reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. It is a submitted of the submitted occurred in Application of the header according to 37 CF in the head	on No d in this national stage application from the a reply complying with the requirements AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF r declaration is deficient. V (PTO-948) attached in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No. 7. ☐ Examiner's 8. ☒ Examiner's 9. ☐ Other	formal Patent Application ummary (PTO-413), 'Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance YOGESH C. GARG RIMARY EXAMINER NOLOGY CENTER 3600

Application/Control Number: 10/768,991

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, pages 14-17, filed 3/28/2007, with respect to rejection of claims 33-76 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 33-76 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph has been withdrawn.
- 2. In view of applicant's submission of a valid Terminal Disclaimer filed on 3/28/2007 rejection of claims 33 and 55 on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 36, and 71 of US Patent 6,199, 048 to Hudetz et al. in view of US Patent 5,550,976 to Henderson et al. is withdrawn.

Claims 33-76 are pending.

Drawings

3. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because in all the figures following DRAWING deficiencies have been noted: the character of the lines, numbers and letters is poor in all the Figures;

the drawings are obscure and marred by black smudges, obliterations, or fax/copier marks; and

numbers, letters, or reference characters in the drawings are illegibly handwritten.

Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new

Application/Control Number: 10/768,991

Art Unit: 3625

drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 33-76 are allowed. Claims 33 and 55 are independent claims and the rest are their dependencies.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record, either alone or combined, neither teaches nor renders obvious a networked computer system and method comprising a requesting computer reading a data carrier modulated with an index, transmitting the machine read index to at least one of the routing computers, receiving pointer information from the routing computers that correlates the machine-read index to a pointer which identifies the information computer on the network, and using the pointer to request information from the identified information computer (see claims 33 and 55 and the applicant's Remarks, pages 14-17, filed on 3/28/2007).

5. Discussion of most relevant prior art:

US Patent 5,640,193 to Wellner teaches an apparatus and method using a computing device to scan machine-readable marks/codes on an object, communicating a request signal with the object code representing the same marks/codes to a user interface and the interface transmitting the request signal to a server with a request

Art Unit: 3625

command including the object code representing the marks/codes and wherein on reading and identifying the object code the server, either itself or via other servers provides the necessary service to the user (see at least Abstract, Figs.2-3 and col.2, line 22-col.6, line 46). Wellner does not teach or suggest that a requesting computer transmits a machine read index to at least one of the routing computers, receives pointer information from the routing computers that correlates the machine-read index to a pointer which identifies the information computer on the network, and using the pointer to request information from the identified information computer.

(ii) US Patent 5,657,233 to Cherrington et al. (see at least Abstract, Fig.10 and col.20, lines 19-67) discloses retrieving data/information from more than one databases/computers in a peer-to-peer network but does not teach or suggest that a requesting computer transmits a machine read index to at least one of the routing computers, receives pointer information from the routing computers that correlates the machine-read index to a pointer which identifies the information computer on the network, and using the pointer to request information from the identified information computer.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C. Garg whose telephone number is 571-272-6756. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625 Page 5

YCG 6/5/2007